TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	PLAN OVERVIEW	2
III.	ALLOCATION OF DIRECT AUDIT RESOURCES	3
IV.	FISCAL YEAR 2015 PROPOSED AUDIT SCHEDULE	5
V.	RISK ASSESSMENT AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT	6
VI.	RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS	7
VII.	AUDIT UNIVERSE	*

^{*} Audit Universe is available upon request

I. INTRODUCTION

The Audit Plaris developed based on rislasced by the University of Alaska. This includes risks that are known by the udit department and risks that are communicated by stakeholders via risk assessments and in response to our annual planning questionnaire. Risks that are not able to be addressed due to audit department resources must be communicated to senior management and the Board of Regents Audit Committee. Planned audits that are not able to be conducted during the currentyear are communicated to the Audit Committee and reevaluated for consideration in the next year's annual audit plan. This is important since factors that lead to risks are in a constant state of change. For example, a risk that existed during the audit plan development for FY14 may not be relevant during it plan development for FY15 due to revision of policies and procedures or implementation of other internal controls during FY14.

The 2015 Audit Plarpresents coverage of several functions and processes from the plan is to provide the most comprehensive scorpetic caverage to the university using a risk-based approach and within the constraints of available audit resources

While recognizing tha Audit and Consulting Service rimary responsibility is the conduct of a program of audits of university business activities, the plan also recognizes the importance of the department's ole in the following areas:

Education and training of the workforce in concepts of internal control.

Assisting management in their efforts toward improvement of operating systems and procedures.

Providing coordination and support to various external audit agencies.

Conducting investigations of financial otherirregularities.

II. PLAN OVERVIEW

The plan is based on fivell-time equivalent (FTE) aditors and one partime student intern for the year representing 11,440 darble hours. The FTE estimate assumes full staffing levels within the department The audit plan takes into consideration the fessional training that is required for staff toenhance existing skills and prepare for new areas of auditingell as required university training

The following table represents the planned use of those hours:

	Hours	%	Per FTE
Direct Audit Hours	8,774	76.70%	1,595
Leave Time	1,580	13.81%	287
Administration & Other	446	3.90%	81
Professional Development	640	5.59%	116
Total	11,440	100.00%	2,080

Leave Time represents 12 holidays, 4 weeks of annual leave, and 1.25 weeks of sick leave as provided for by personnel policies of the university. (Sick leave actually accrues three weeks per year but average usage is just over one week.)

Annual Audit Plan Page3

Administration and Other includes primarily the time of the chief audit executive in the overall administration of the department although chief audit executive devotes substantial time to direct audit activities. This caption also includes time incurred in support of uniwersety matters.

Professional Developmentime is planned to meet or exceed the annual continuing professional education requirements of the various professional organizations of which internal auditors are members and that are required by the Institute of Internal Au(tikt)rstandards. This caption also includes timeor enhanced training on the Banner systems

are lesser in scopeath full audits and do not always result in the issuance of formal audit reports.

Investigations (12%) This is the most difficult category to predict and the one that most frequently causes disruption to the program of planned audits. It is estimalted basis of historical experience and known open investigations at the time the plan is established. Investigations are usually conducted at the request of legal counsel and executive management and typically involve assisting in fraud and theft assessment and the procedures for reporting allegations of improper activities.

External Audit Coordination and Support (9%) Audit and Consulting Services (A&CS) is designated as the focal point for coordination of work by any third partyægæticy, including regulatory bodies as well as the Board of Regenternal audit firm. A&CSs contractually committed to provid a minimum of 320 hours of assistance to the external audit firm annually. A&CS works with the external auditing fir aswell as other audit agencies as requested to facilitate their efforts.

Support Activities (14%) This category includes a variety of matters to which A&ESources are allocated to fulfill our additional roles and support our own processes and initiatives including:

Technology (%) - Representing time incurred in the development and maintenance of electronic audit capabilities ranging from the use of local area networks to data extraction and analysis capabilities and the development of computer assisted audit techniques.

Audit Planning (1%) - Representing the time spent in the design and motitifical the audit planning tool and preparation of annual audit plans.

Quality Assurance and Project Managem () - Representing our continued efforts to improve the audit function of the university conforming to the standards for the conduct of audits, investigations, and consultations.

Other (4%) - Representing such matters as reporting to **thd**it ACommittee preparation of the executive travel and repensation report and assisting with krassessments.

IV. FISCAL YEAR 2015 PROPOSED AUDIT SCHEDULE

External Audit Support

(Budgeted 752 hours; 9% of Direct Audit Hours)

Payroll Tuition and Fees

Journal Entries Wires

Cash Disbursements ProcurementCard

Cash Search for Unrecorded Labilities

Includes time spent assisting and acting as liaison/ternal audit agencies

Special Requests and Investigations:

(Budgeted 1,780 hours; 20% of Direct Audit Hours)

Special Requests Investigations

Audits and Projects:

(Budgeted 4,973 hours; 57% of Direct Audit Hours)

Function and System Reviews

- 1. Student Enrollment
- 2. Payroll and Human Resources
- 3. Travel and Travel Card
- 4. Accounts Receivable

Information Systems Reviews:

- Mobile Technology Security**
- 2. Records Management and Data Disposat*
- 3. New Systems Governance

Ongoing Audits:

- 1. Follow-up Auditing
- 2. Continuous Controls Auditg

Legend:

* Specific departments/areas to be determined during planning for specified audit or projectThese will be selected from any the universities or system offices.

**Carried forward from FY14

Planned Audits	Routinely Audited	May Result in Savings (efficiency, cost)	Based on Last Date Audited	Originally Planned for FY14	Based on BOR Concerns, Internal Audit Concerns, External Auditor Concerns, or Management Concerns from FY14	Based on Management Concerns from FY15 Planning Questionnaire
Governance	X	X			IA, MC, 2110	X, X, X
Third Party Risks	X				IA	
Grants and Contracts Administration	X		2004		BOR, EA, MC	X
Business Continuity/Emergency Preparedness	X		2014		EA, IA, MC	X, X
Risk Management/Claims Processes	X			Χ	IA, MC	X
Protection of Minors	X				MC	X
Budget	X			Χ		
Department QAR	X		2011		IA	
Information Systems Reviews						
Mobile Technology Security	X			Χ		

Planned Audits	Routinely Audited	May Result in Savings (efficiency, cost)	Based on Last Date Audited	Originally Planned for FY14	Based on BOR Concerns, Internal Audit Concerns, External Auditor Concerns, or Management Concerns from FY14	Based on Management Concerns from FY15 Planning Questionnaire
Federal Funding					MC (ERM Level 4)	X
Declining State Revenue					MC (ERM Level 4)	X
UAA						

Accounts Receivable (covered in the functions and systems audit)

X X X

EA, IA, MC